## Case 5:05-cv-01962-HRL Document 332 Filed 06/24/10 Page 1 of 4

```
*E-FILED 06-24-2010*
     MIGUEL MÁRQUEZ, County Counsel (S.B. #184621)
 1
     MELISSA R. KINIYALOCTS, Lead Deputy County Counsel (S.B. #215814)
     STEPHEN H. SCHMID, Deputy County Counsel (S.B. #078055)
 2
     OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
     70 West Hedding, East Wing, 9th Floor
 3
     San Jose, California 95110-1770
4
     Telephone: (408) 299-5900
     Facsimile: (408) 292-7240
5
     Attorneys for Defendants
6
     COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA,
     KENNETH BORELLI, LAWRENCE
 7
     GALLEGOS, EPIFANIO REYNA, AND
     TANYA BEYERS
 8
9
                            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
                                        (San Jose)
11
12
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                           No. C05-01962 HRL
     ex. rel. DONNA M McLEAN et al.,
13
                                           STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
           Plaintiffs,
                                           TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER:
                                           DECLARATION OF STEVE SCHMID IN
14
                                           SUPPORT THEREOF
     v.
15
     THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, a
16
     municipality et al.,
17
           Defendants.
18
```

Pursuant to the Court's April 28, 2010 Order (Docket No. 328), hearing on the parties cross-motions for summary judgment is scheduled for August 24, 2010. The April 28, 2010 order specified that oppositions for both cross-motions were due on June 22, 2010 and July 20, 2010. Pursuant to the Court's order dated June 17, 2010 (Docket No. 330) the briefing schedule was further modified as follows: Oppositions due by June 24, 2010 and Replies due by July 22, 2010.

Because of Defendant County of Santa Clara's counsel's family plans during the week of August 24, 2010, and further calender issues of Relator's counsel, the parties have agreed to a modification of the current schedule with the Court's permission. Therefore, the parties hereby submit the following stipulation and order to modify the current scheduling order as follows:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## Case 5:05-cv-01962-HRL Document 332 Filed 06/24/10 Page 2 of 4

1		<u>Cu</u>	<u>ırrent</u>	<b>Proposed</b>	
2	Hearing Date for both cross-motions	Αü	igust 24, 2010	September 14, 2010	
3	Oppositions due for both cross-motions	Ju	ne 24, 2010	June 25, 2010	
4	Replies due for both cross-motions	Jul	ly 22, 2010	August 5, 2010	
5	This Stipulation and Proposed Order is accompanied by the Declaration of Steve Schmid				
6	attached hereto.				
7	I hereby attest that I have on file all holographic signatures for any signatures indicated by				
8	"conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document.				
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
10			Respectfully Subi	mitted,	
11			MIGUEL MÁRQ County Counsel	UEZ	
12			County Counsel		
13	Dated: June 22, 2010	By:	/S/ STEVE SCHMID	<u></u>	
14			Deputy County C		
15			Attorneys for Def COUNTY OF SA	endants	
16			KENNETH BOR	ELLI, LAWRENCE IFANIO REYNA, and	
17			TANYA BEYER	S	
18					
19	Dated: June 22, 2010		LAW OFFICE OF FRIEDMAN AND		
20			WATSON & HE.		
21		By:	/S/ Jeremy L. JEREMY FRIED		
22			Attorneys for Rel		
23			DONNA McLEA	N	
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
- 11					

## DECLARATION OF STEVE SCHMID IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER

- I, Steve Schmid, declare as follows:
- 1. I am employed as a Deputy County Counsel for the County of Santa Clara and am duly licensed to practice law before this Court and all California courts. I am one of the attorneys of record for the County of Santa Clara Defendants.
- 2. The parties have previously requested continuance of the hearing on two cross-motions for summary judgment and modification of the briefing schedule. When the Court responded by continuing the hearing date from June 8, 2010 to August 24, 2010, I neglected to note that I had family plans to be out of town that day. On June 21, 2010, I telephoned Relator's attorney to find out if Relator would agree to stipulate to a request to continue the hearing date to a later date. We agreed upon September 14, 2010. Relator's counsel requested a stipulation to slightly modify the briefing schedule as follows: move the date for filing oppositions from June 24, 2010 to June 25, 2010, and move the date for filing Replies from July 22, 2010 to August 5, 2010. Defendant County is amenable to these briefing modifications.
- 3. This request for an order to modify the scheduling order is made jointly pursuant to stipulation. Counsel for Relator and Santa Clara County Defendants have met and conferred regarding the proposed modified schedule.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 22nd day of June, 2010 at San Jose, California.

/S/ STEVE SCHMID

1		ORDER			
2	The Court has considered the stipulation to modify the scheduling order information				
3	currently in force and makes the following order pursuant to the stipulation:				
4		XXINXMX	Rronosnak		
5	Hearing Date for both cross-motions	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	September 14, 2010		
6	Oppositions due for both cross-motions	XXXXXXXX	June 25, 2010		
7	Replies due for both cross-motions	XXXXXXXXXXX	August 5, 2010		
8					
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
10		<b>()</b>			
11	Dated:_ June 24, 2010 _	шиси			
12		JUI GILHOWARD	LOYD		
13		United States Ma	agistrate Judge		
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					